

Re: University of Cincinnati - Marysville IH Data

Eric Borton to: Brattin, Bill

06/13/2012 01:06 PM

From: Eric Borton <eric.borton@uc.edu>

To:

Cc: "Borton, Eric (bortonek)" <BORTONEK@UCMAIL.UC.EDU>, benson.bob@epamail.epa.gov, "Hilbert, Timothy (hilbertj)" <HILBERTJ@UCMAIL.UC.EDU>

Bill, how would you like to handle the years without any samples?

On 6/13/2012 2:23 PM, Brattin, Bill wrote:

> Eric

>

> Here is a file where I have done two things:

>

> 1) I assigned a value of zero to all samples at the DL (N = 33)

> 2) I calculated the sample mean by area by year (see table in spreadsheet)

>

> Please confirm calcs are right.

> If so, please proceed to generate two tables based on 1980 and 2004 investigations that give yearly exposure concentration by worker by year based on AM concentrations, using format similar to that used before (attached) .

>

> Please feel free to call with any questions:

> 303-697-6593

>

> *****

> Bill Brattin

> SRC, Inc.

> 999 18th Street Suite 1150

> Denver CO 80202

> Phone: 303-357-3121

> Fax: 303-292-4755

> e-mail: brattin@srcinc.com

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Eric Borton [mailto:eric.borton@uc.edu]

> Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2012 11:29 AM

> To: Brattin, Bill

> Cc: benson.bob@epamail.epa.gov; Rice, Carol (ricech); tim.hilbert@uc.edu

> Subject: University of Cincinnati - Marysville IH Data

>
> Bill,
>
> Here is the requested data per yesterday's conference call. A
column has been added to identify the LOD/LOQ samples (Yes = 1,
No=0). There are 33 LOD/LOQ samples. This is 2 minus the 35
stated during the phone conference. The 2 samples were ultimately
not included in the 914 samples since they were outside samples
unrelated to the work processes of interest. The LOD/LOQ sample
results are the original values (no adjustments).
>
> Eric
>